# Report on the oceanographic and Buoy Maintanance activities during Cruise LTES1-10 with R/V Mariagrazia: Adriatic Sea, 2010-05-27 - 2010-06-03. Projects LTES (Dr. M. Ravaioli).

Giovanni Bortoluzzi<sup>1</sup>, Paola Focaccia<sup>1</sup>, Francesco Falcieri<sup>2</sup>, Alessio Cesari<sup>3</sup>, Alessandro Giordano<sup>4</sup>,

<sup>1</sup> CNR, Istituto di Scienze Marine, Via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna (Italy)

<sup>2</sup> Universita' Politecnica Marche, P.zza Roma 22, 60121 Ancona (Italy)

<sup>3</sup> SO.PRO.MAR., Fiumicino (Italy)

Received: June 2010 / Accepted: June 2010 /PDF VERSION / NAV-DATA / METEO-DATA /OPERATIONS AT SEA

### SUMMARY

We present the shipboard activities and results of Cruise LTES1-10 ( 2010-05-27- 2010-06-03) ) with R/V Mariagrazia. The cruise was scheduled to acquire seasonal oceanographic data on the Central and Northern Adriatic Sea, and perform routine and emergency maintanance on meteoceanographic buoys S1 and E1. The two buoys have been deployed and 105 CTD casts were performed from Ancona to Venezia.

**Key words:** Oceanography – Adriatic Sea – Meteoceanographic Buoys

### 1 INTRODUCTION AND SETTING

Cruise LTES1-10, coordinated by ISMAR-CNR of Bologna, has been dedicated to the maintanance of the observational sites already included (buoy S1) or next to be included (buoy E1) on the network LTER-Italia. LTER-Italy is coordinated by CNR and Dr. Ravaioli is member of the Coordinating Committe. It participates to the EU project Life + Enveurope, thank to the data provided by the S1 Buoy. The cruise was planned also to further acquire oceanographic data for the biogeochemical modeling designed within the EMMA project (Life 2004-2007) and aiming at the monitoring of extension and timings of hypoxic and anoxic phenomena on the Adriatic Basin, i.e. by repeating classical and well known transects (Venezia, Adige, Po-Rovinij, Casal Borsetti, Rimini, Senigallia, Ancona) and other ones.

This paper reports the shipboard activities

during cruise LTES1-10, including description of the ship, equipment and their usage, along with details of the general settings, performances and some scientific and technical results.

## 1.1 GEOLOGICAL AND OCEANOGRAPHICAL SETTING

## GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Adriatic Sea (Fig.1) is an epicontinental sea showing two margin configurations, north and south of the Gargano Promontory (Ridente & Trincardi 2005) and references therein).

The northern Adriatic (NA) Sea is bounded by the Italian peninsula to the east and by the Balkans to the east (Fig. 1) and is the northernmost part of the Mediterranean Sea. It

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Communication Technology, Via del Monte 1080, 47521 (Italy)



Figure 1. Adriatic Sea setting.

is characterized by very shallow environment, with an average depth of  $\sim 35$  m, and regularly and gently slopes toward the south until the 120 m isobath, taken as its southern open boundary, approximately north of 43:20 (Artegiani et al. 1997a; Russo & Artegiani 1996; Poulain et al. 2001). Other authors consider Ancona or Rimini to be the southern limit of the NA.

The Central area is characterized by the Mid Adriatic Deep (MAD), a remnant basin, 260 m deep, separated in 2 depocenters by the Central Adriatic deformation belt (Argnani & Frugoni 1997), and bordered by the Gallignani and Pelagosa (Palagruža) ridges to the south and by the structural high of the Tremiti Islands. The two depressions of the MAD are likely to be filled by the NadDW.

#### Oceanographical setting

Being an epicontinental basin, the hydrology and dynamics of the NA are primarily influenced by meteorological forcing, thermal variations and river runoff. Climatological studies (see Cushman-Roisin et al. (2001) and citations therein) indicate that prominent weather situations in the NA include unperturbed weather or airflow from the northwestern, northeastern and south-eastern quadrants (respectively Etesian, Bora and Sirocco winds). Bora and Sirocco are the most frequent winds in the area and often trigger severe wind-storms. Despite its limited volume, the NA receives approximately 20 % of the total Mediterranean river runoff (Russo & Artegiani 1996). This runoff comes mainly from the Po River, whose annual average flow rate is approximately 1500  $m^3/y$  (Artegiani & Azzolini 1981; Raicich 1994). This leads to a net gain of fresh water. In autumn, intense cooling and evaporation processes,

usually associated with Bora wind events over the NA, create conditions for dense water formation during the winter (Vibilič & Supič 2005).

Due to runoff and heating in the late spring and summer and to autumn-winter cooling, gradient currents are established leading to a cyclonic circulation system (Zore-Armanda 1956; Buljan & Zore-Armanda 1976; Franco et al. 1982; Orlić et al. 1992; Artegiani et al. 1997a,b; Russo & Artegiani 1996; Hopkins et al. 1999; Poulain & Cushman-Roisin 2001) consisting of an entering NW-ward current (the Eastern Adriatic Current, EAC), on the eastern coast, and an exiting SE-ward current (the Western Adriatic Current, WAC) on the western coast. EAC introduces warmer and saltier water into the subbasin, while WAC pushes fresher water towards the southern regions. The general circulation pattern in the NA is also largely affected by wind. Bora episodes can generate a transient double gyre circulation consisting of a cyclone north of Po delta and an anticyclone to the south, driving the upwind extension river plume filaments (Jeffries & Lee 2007); an anticyclonic circulation also develops along the southern Istrian coast (Poulain & Cushman-Roisin 1992, 2001), while Bora enforce flow in the WAC (Book et al. 2007; Ursella et al. 2006).

The NA Sea is one of the most biologically productive regions of the whole Mediterranean. The rate of oxygen consumption due to biogeochemical processes is the largest of the entire Adriatic basin, with a maximum occurring around the Po River delta area (Artegiani et al. 1997b). This region can thus be regarded as a favourable environment for the development of hypoxic conditions. The formation of a hypoxic bottom layer in wide areas of the basin (Degobbis et al. 1993, 2000) can cause major ecological problems such as the mass mortality of marine animals, defaunation of benthic populations and a decline in fisheries production.

Hypoxia is usually defined as occurring in regions where dissolved oxygen concentrations are less than 2 ml  $l^{-1}$  (equivalent to 2.8 mg  $l^{-1}$ ). This concentration is the lower tolerance limit for many benthic species (Simunovic et al. 1999; Rabalais et al. 2000; Wu 2002).

#### 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research cruise was carried out with the 42 meter R/V Mariagrazia (Societa' Tonno Rosso) (fig. 2), owned by F.lli Ferdinando Gallo and Bros., operated by SO.PRO.MAR. and on lease to CNR. Ship is normally used for geological, geophysical and oceanographical work in the Mediterranean Sea.

R/V Mariagrazia is equipped with DGPS positioning system (satellite link by FUGRO, and



Figure 2. R/V Mariagrazia, starboard side.

POSITION	ACROSS	ALONG	HEIGHT
REFERENCE	0.00	0.00	0.00
SEAPATH	-4.039	0.163	-18.211
MRU	-0.341	-1.342	-1.596
MBEAM TX	0.0936	10.2964	5.0623
MBEAM RX	-0.0031	11.0144	5.0600
SEALEVEL	0	0	-0.0875
MAGNETOM.	2.0	-145.0	0.0
CHIRP	1.5	7.0	2.0
STERN	4.0	-10.0	0.0
CORER	-8.0	8.0	0.0

Table 1. SEAPATH offsets during cruise LTES1-10 R/V Mariagrazia. Z positive downwards.

Kongsberg's SEAPATH), single-beam and multibeam bathymetry and integrated geophysical and oceanographical data acquisition systems, including ADCP, CHIRP SBP and other Sonar Equipment, other than water and sediment sampling. Additional equipment can be accommodated on the keel or towed, e.g. Side Scan Sonars.

#### 2.1 NAVIGATION, CHIRP SBP, SWATH BATHYMETRY

The vessel was set-up for data acquisition and navigation with PDS-2000 software by RESON, interfacing by a multiserial and Ethernet link several instruments, among them the SEAPATH (Kongsberg) and DGPS (Fugro), the Kongsberg EA-600 echosunder, the MRU and the AANDERAA meteorological station. A Kongsberg processor running the SIS software, collected the multibeam data, including a SEAPATH MRU, compass, and DGPS. The MBES was the 300kHz, 400 1x2° beams.  $150^{\circ}$ aperture, dual head EM-3002-D (200 m range) model by Kongsberg. The sonar head is positioned on the ship's keel using a V-shaped steel frame. A Sound Velocity probe at the keel 1m above the Sonar Head is interfaced directly to the MBES processor, thus providing the necessary real-time data for the beam-forming. CTD casts were normally used for input of the sound velocity profile to the system. An Anderaa Meteorological Station was also made available, at a rate of one measurement every 10-15 s.

Table 1 shows the instrumental offsets (SEA-PATH) during cruise LTES1-10.

#### CTD

CTD data were obtained by a Sea Bird SBE 911 probe. Table 7 in the appendix shows the position of the stations. Hydrological measurements included CTD vertical profiles (pressure, temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen), acquired by the SeaBird SEASAVE software. Among the many parameters, T, S, Pressure were used to provide to the MBES the necessary water column speed of sound profile. Data were extracted from the 0.25 or 0.5 m averaged profiles, and input on the MBES console. A procedure was set up in order to ease the handling of the procedure, in particular for the extension of data to the depth of 12000m, as required by the SIS Kongsberg's software.

#### BOTTOM SAMPLING

Bottom sampling was performed by Van-Veen grab. Table 2 shows the position of samples, that were subsampled and preserved on fridge and freezer.

#### MAPPING AND MISCELLANEOUS

The datum was set to WGS84 and the UTM, zone 33N projection, was chosen for navigation, display, and data acquisition. The time zone was set to the UTC for the instrumental data acquisition.

The positioning maps and bathymetric images were produced with GMT Wessel & Smith (1998).

The multibeam data were pre processed on board by the MB-SYSTEM (Caress & Chayes 2009) and GMT software and ISMAR's routines and scripts, using the SIS production DTMS or raw .all file.

Photographs and video were taken by digital cameras and video-camera.

lon lat depth	sample	date	where
1234.312 4408.580 10.5	G01	2010-06-02	E1

**Table 2.** Samples on cruise LTES1-10. BC=boxcorer, G=grab. Latitude and Longitude expressed as ddmm.xx



Figure 3. Cruise LTES1-10: shaded relief bathymetry obtained by 4 multibeam runlines SE of Bocche di Lido (Venice), sand waves area. Superimposed contour lines are from 1990 and 1991 R/V Bannock cruises (single beam data)

## **3 INITIAL RESULTS**

#### 3.2 CTD data

#### **3.1** Buoys deployments

The S1 buoy was redeployed SE of the Po di Goro Mouth. Figure 20 shows the position of the mooring (train wheel 200 Kg, 45 m chain). The E1 buoy was recovered on board and, after refurbishment of body and sensors, and change of batteries and telephone terminal (GPRS-UMTS) was deployed (Fig. 21), using the same anchor and  $\sim 20$  m chain. In the Appendix Table 7 shows the CTD data collected during cruise LTES1-10, and figure 22 plots a summary of the data.

At the E1 site the first CTD cast showed low oxygen values below the thermocline at  $\sim$  -6 m. Therefore, additional measurements were performed in the area around the station, aiming at defining the areal extension, that resulted to be confined in from of Rimini.



Figure 4. Buoy S1 on bridge, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.



Figure 5. Buoy S1 on bridge, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.



Figure 6. Buoy S1 on bridge, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.

## 3.3 Multibeam

Multibeam data have been acquired on the S1 and E1 sites (see Fig. 20 and 21). During the transit to the northern oceanographic transects (Venice and Adige) the area with relict sand waves SE of the Bocche di Lido was mapped (Fig.3).

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

During the 11 days of cruise LTES1-10, including transits and port calls, we obtained:

• 105 CTD casts and along Adriatic transects;



Figure 7. Buoy S1, dead weight, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.



**Figure 8.** Buoy S1, deployment, R/V *Mariagrazia*, LTES1-10.

• S1 and E1 buoys maintanance and deployment;

 $\bullet\,$  multibeam mapping

Analysis of the data collected during the expedition is under process, and will continue during the forthcoming several months.

No problems were encountered regarding neither the people nor the environment during the cruise.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to the Master, the officers and crew members of R/V *Mariagrazia* for their professionalism, work and efforts in assuring the success of the cruise. We thank also Francesco Riminucci and Fabrizio Del Bianco for their help and availability.

## REFERENCES

Argnani, A. & Frugoni, F., 1997. Foreland deformation in the central adriatic and its bearing on the



Figure 9. Buoy S1, deployment, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.



Figure 10. Buoy S1, deployment,, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.



Figure 11. Buoy E1, recovery, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.



Figure 12. Buoy E1, recovery, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.



**Figure 13.** Buoy E1, recovery of anchor, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.



Figure 14. Buoy E1, recovered, on bridge. Note the cover of mussels and other biological material, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.

evolution of the northern apennines, Ann. Geophys., 40(3), 77–780.

- Artegiani, A. & Azzolini, R., 1981. Influence of the Po floods on the western Adriatic coastal water up to Ancona and beyond, *Rapp. Comm. int. Mer Medit.*, 27(6), 115–119.
- Artegiani, A., Bregant, D., Paschini, E., Pinardi, N., Raicich, F., & Russo, A., 1997a. The Adriatic Sea general circulation. Part I: Air-sea interactions and water mass structure, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 27(8), 1492–1514.
- Artegiani, A., Bregant, D., Paschini, E., Pinardi, N., Raicich, F., & Russo, A., 1997b. The Adriatic Sea general circulation. Part II: Baroclinic circulation



Figure 15. Buoy E1, refurbished, on bridge, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.



Figure 16. Buoy E1, refurbished, on bridge, Level 1, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.

structure, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 27(8), 1515–1532.

- Book, J. M., Signell, R. P., & Perkins, H., 2007. Measurements of storm and nonstorm circulation in the northern Adriatic: October 2002 Through April 2003, J. Geophys. Res., 112, C11–S92.
- Buljan, M. & Zore-Armanda, M., 1976. Oceanographical properties of the Adriatic Sea, Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Ann. Rev., 14, 11–98.
- Caress, D. & Chayes, D., 2009. MB-System, Mapping the Seafloor, Software for the Processing and Display of Swath Sonar Data, V5.2.
- Cushman-Roisin, B., Gačič, Poulain, P. M., & Artegiani, A., 2001. Physical Oceanography of the Adriatic Sea: Past, Present and Future, Kluwer Acad., Norwell.
- Degobbis, D., Travizi, A., & Jaklin, A., 1993. Meccanismi di formazione di strati di fondo ipossici e anossici nel bacino dell'Alto Adriatico settentrionale e reazioni delle comunita' bentoniche, in *Ipossie e* anossie di fondali marini. L'Alto Adriatico e il Golfo di Trieste, eds Orel, G., Umani, S. F., & Aleffi, F., Regione Autonoma Friuli-venezia Giulia.
- Degobbis, D., Precali, R., Ivancic, I. I., Smodlaka, N., Fuks, D., & Kveder, S., 2000. Long-term changes in the northern Adriatic ecosystem related to anthropogenic eutrophication, *Int. J. Environment and Pollution*, **13**(1-6), 495–533.



Figure 17. Buoy E1, deployment, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.



**Figure 18.** Buoy E1, deployment, R/V *Mariagrazia*, LTES1-10.

- Franco, P., Jeftić, L., Malanotte Rizzoli, P., Michelato, A., & Orlić, M., 1982. Descriptive model of the northern Adriatic, *Oceanol. Acta*, 5(3), 379– 389.
- Hopkins, T. S., Kinder, C., Artegiani, A., & Pariante, R., 1999. A discussion of the northern Adriatic circulation and flushing as determined from the ELNA hydrography, in The Adriatic Sea, in *Ecosystem Report*, vol. 32, pp. 85–106, ed. et al., T. S. H., European Commission, Brussels, Belgium, EUR 18834.
- Jeffries, M. A. & Lee, C. M., 2007. A climatology of the northern Adriatic Sea's response to bora and river forcing, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, C03–S02.
- Orlić, M., Gačić, M., & Violette, P. L., 1992. The currents and circulation of the Adriatic Sea, Oceanol. Acta, 15(2), 109–124.
- Poulain, P. M. & Cushman-Roisin, B., 1992. Circulation, in *Physical oceanography of the Adriatic Sea*, pp. 67–109, ed. et al., B. C.-R., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- Poulain, P. M. & Cushman-Roisin, B., 2001. Circulation, in *Physical oceanography of the Adriatic Sea*, pp. 67–109, eds Cushman-Roisin, B., Gacic, M., Poulain, P. M., & Artegiani, A., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- Poulain, P. M., Kourafalou, V. H., & Cushman-Roisin, B., 2001. Northern Adriatic Sea, in *Physical oceanography of the Adriatic Sea*, pp. 143–165, eds Cushman-Roisin, B., Gacic, M., Poulain, P. M., & Artegiani, A., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- Rabalais, N. N., Turner, R. E., Justic, D., Dortch, Q., & Wiseman, W. J., 2000. Integrated Assessment of Hypoxia in the Northern Gulf of Mexico, vol. 15 of Coastal Ocean Program Decision Analysis Series, chap. Topic 1: Report for the Integrated Assessment of Hypoxia in the Gulf of Mexico, p. 167 pp, NOAA, Coastal Ocean Program, Silver Spring, MD.
- Raicich, F., 1994. Note on the flow rates of the Adriatic rivers, Tech.report, CNR. Ist. Sper. Talassografico, Trieste, Italy.
- Ridente, D. & Trincardi, F., 2005. Pleistocene 'muddy' forced-regression deposits on the Adriatic shelf: A comparison with prodelta deposits of the late Holocene highstand mud wedge, *Mar. Geol.*, 222-223, 213–233.

Russo, A. & Artegiani, A., 1996. Adriatic Sea hydrography, *Sci. Mar.*, **60**(Suppl. 2), 33–43.

- Simunovic, A., Piccinetti, C., & Zore-Armanda, M., 1999. Kill of benthic organisms as a response to anoxic state in the northern adriatic (a critical review), *Acta Adriat.*, **40**(1), 37–47.
- Ursella, L., Poulain, P. L., & Signell, R. P., 2006. Surface drifter derived circulation in the northern and middle adriatic sea: Response to wind regime and season, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **111**, C03–S04.
- Vibilič, I. & Supič, N., 2005. Dense water generation on a shelf: the case of the Adriatic Sea, Ocean. Dynam., 55, 403–415.
- Wessel, P. & Smith, W. H. F., 1998. New, improved version of generic mapping tools released, EOS Trans. Amer. Geophys. U., 79(47), 579.
- Wu, R. S. S., 2002. Hypoxia: from molecular responses to ecosystem responses, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 45, 35–45.
- Zore-Armanda, M., 1956. On gradient currents in the Adriatic Sea, *Acta Adriat.*, 8(6), 1–38.

## 5 APPENDIX DIARY OF OPERATIONS

SHIP	R/V Urania
START	2010-05-27 PORT: Marina di Ravenna
END	2010-06-03 PORT: Termoli
SEA/OCEAN	Adriatic Sea
LIMITS	NORTH: 45:30 SOUTH: 42:00 WEST: 12:00 EAST: 16:45
OBJECTIVE	BUOY MAINTANANCE OCEANOGRAPHY
COORDINATING BODIES	ISMAR-CNR Bologna
CHIEF OF EXPEDITION	Giovanni Bortoluzzi
CONTACT	G.Bortoluzzi at ismar.cnr.it
DISCIPLINES	OCEANOGRAPHY, MORPHOBATHYMETRY, BOTTOM SAMPLING.
WORK DONE	105 CTD CASTS, 1 GRAB, TWO BUOY DEPLOYMENTS.

 Table 3. Cruise Summary.

PARTICIPANTS	ORGANIZATION	EXPERTISE	tel & email & www
Giovanni Bortoluzzi Paola Focaccia Francesco Falcieri Alessandro Giordano Alessio Cesari	ISMAR,Bologna ISMAR, Bologna UNIVPM, Ancona Comm-Tech SO.PRO.MAR.	Chief-of-Expedition	G.Bortoluzzi@ismar.cnr.it P.Focaccia@bo.ismar.cnr.it f.falcieri@univpm.it ag@comm-tec.com ale.cesari88@gmail.com

 Table 4. Scientific and technical parties

DATE	OPERATIONS
2010-05-25	Transit Messina to Marina di Ravenna
2010-05-27	mob Marina di Ravenna; departure 17:30 local, multibeam S1 site, CTD transect S1
2010-05-28	08:06 UTC deployment buoy S1, CTD transect S1 onshore; transit to E1 site; 13:45 buoy E1
	recovered; CTD transect E1
2010-05-29	CTD transects, Casal Borsetti Venice. Po-Rovinji
2010-05-30	In port, Marina di Ravenna, BUOY E1 repair.
2010-05-31	In port, Marina di Ravenna, bad weather, BUOY E1 repair.
2010-06-01	Depart 11:15 UTC. Deploy buoy E1 14:36 UTC. Multibeam and CTD around E1 site. Cesenatico
	Transect.
2010-06-02	Ravenna transect. CTD around E1 site. Senigallia transect.
2010-06-03	Ancona transect. Berthed in Ancona, 07:00 UTC.
2010-06-04	Transit to Cagliari

 Table 5. Diary of Operations.







Figure 20. Whole ship track during Cruise LTES1-10. The arrows show ship layout (30m). The thick arrow is the ship's position during deployment of dead weight. Blue circles are CTD stations. Blue lines show navigation.



Figure 21. Whole ship track during Cruise LTES1-10at E1 site. The arrows show ship layout (30m). The thick arrow is the ship's position during deployment of anchor. Blue circles are CTD stations. The circle and rhomb are the buoy (2010-06-01T1600+00) and anchor position, respectively.

ACRONYM	DESCRIPTION	URL-email
CNR	Consiglio Nazionale Delle Ricerche	www.cnr.it
ISMAR	Istituto di Scienze Marine	www.ismar.cnr.it
ISMAR-BO	ISMAR, Bologna	www.bo.ismar.cnr.it
UNIVPM	Universita' Politecnica delle Marche	www.univpm.it
LTER	Long Term Ecological Research	www.lteritalia.it
EMMA	Environmental Management trough Monitoring and Modeling of Anoxia	emma.bo.ismar.cnt.it
MFS	Mediterranean ocean Forecasting System	www.bo.ingv.it/mfs
MOON	Mediterranean Operational Oceanography	
SIAM	Sistema Informativo Ambiente Mediterraneo	${ m moon.santateresa.enea.it}$
SIS	Sea-floor Information System	www.kongsberg.com
SBE	Sea Bird Electronics	www.seabird.com
GMT	Generic Mapping Tool	gmt.soest.hawaii.edu/gmt
NRT	Near Real Time	
MBES	Multibeam Echosounder System	
SBP	Sub Bottom Profiling	
SVP	Sound Velocity Profile	
CTD	Conductivity/Temperature/Depth	
MAW	Modified Atlantic Water	
LSW	Levantine Surface Water	
LIW	Levantine Intermediate Water	
CIW	Cretan Intermediate Water	
CDW	Cretan Deep Water (Involved recently in EMDW. Some- times referred as CSOW).	
LDW	Levantine Deep Water (Formed in NW Levantine Basin).	
EMDW	Eastern Mediterranean Deep Water (Kept for historical reasons).	
EOW	Eastern Mediterranean Overflow Water (Sometimes called AIW or tEMDW at the Sicily channel).	
EAC	East Adriatic Current	
WAC	Western Adriatic Current	
GPS-DGPS-RTK	Global Positioning System	samadhi.jpl.nasa.gov
DTM	Digital Terrain Model	en.wikipedia.org

[H]

 Table 6. Acronyms of Organizations, Manufacturers and Products

#### CTD and water sampling

Some notes on the CTD casts performed during the cruise LTES1-10 on the R/V Mariagrazia. Overall notes:

 $\bullet\,$  most of the cast do not have the top 3/5 meters

• the ship didn't have a NMEA system so the timing and position of each cast had to be manually given to the acquisition program

• the bottom depth was not acquired during the casts and on the probe was not mounted an altimeter. Anyway all the cast were performed to get as close to the sea floor as possible (usually about 1 m)

• each cast can be identified in tow ways:

• a station name (based on previous cruises) that identity the historical position

• a file name (a progressive number) that define the cruise station number.

CTD station notes (here the casts are identified by file name):

• 001: longitude and latitude in the header file are switched

• 013: the cast was done 2 nm east of the planned point (station name 068).

 $\bullet~$  017: the down cast was done with an high descent rate

• 021: the cast was repeated on the same position after a very short time due to not acquisition of the first cast

• 038: the cast was repeated on the same position after a very short time due to not acquisition of the first cast

 $\bullet~046:$  in the header file the cast is located 45N instead of 44N

• 047: the weight attached to the probe touched the sea floor. After the cast the probe was cleaned with distilled water. This seems to have caused any problems to the sensors.

• 056: the cast was done with a very slow speed

• 057: the cast was not saved in an .hex file

• 102: the weight attached to the probe touched the sea floor. After the cast the probe was cleaned with distilled water. This seems to have caused any problems to the sensors. Table 7. CTD Stations on cruise LTES1-10 with R/V Mariagrazia. Latitude and longitude expressed as ddmm.xx.

LON LAT STATION DATE FILE 4443.850 1227.333 S1 2010-05-27T17:33:33 001.hex 1227.500 4444.900 toS1-1 2010-05-27T19:06:25 002.hex 1230.383 4443.917 066 2010-05-27T19:44:27 003.hex 1237.867 4444.183 065 2010-05-27T20:28:18 004.hex 1246.083 4444.450 064 2010-05-27T21:16:21 005.hex 1252.167 4444.467 063 2010-05-27T21:50:20 006.hex 1300.433 4444.467 062 2010-05-27T22:35:12 007.hex 1310.400 4445.783 061 2010-05-27T23:21:36 008.hex 1317.500 4446.567 050 2010-05-27T23:59:21 009.hex 1323.200 4448.450 049 2010-05-28T00:31:38 010.hex 1227.400 4444.550 ToS1-2 2010-05-28T08:38:58 011.hex 1224.317 4443.933 067 2010-05-28T09:22:59 012.hex 1222.050 4443.917 068 2010-05-28T09:42:01 013.hex 1234.333 4408.467 CTD14-E1 2010-05-28T14:24:33 014.hex 1239.700 4409.683 097 2010-05-28T15:23:45 015.hex 1243.933 4412.333 098 2010-05-28T16:24:03 016.hex 1246.800 4414.217 099 2010-05-28T16:45:58 017.hex 1251.083 4417.967 100 2010-05-28T17:21:54 018.hex 1255.050 4420.667 101 2010-05-28T18:07:04 019.hex 1302.500 4424.133 102 2010-05-28T18:46:58 020.hex 1309.650 4427.867 102 2010-05-28T19:30:21 021.hex 1314.300 4430.517 104 2010-05-28T20:02:48 022.hex 1319.683 4433.217 105 2010-05-28T20:39:19 023.hex 1307.483 4434.283 073 2010-05-28T21:36:18 024.hex 1258.283 4434.300 074 2010-05-28T22:25:29 025.hex 1249.033 4434.283 075 2010-05-28T23:21:43 026.hex 1240.717 4435.250 076 2010-05-29T00:05:33 027.hex 1233.933 4434.283 077 2010-05-29T00:39:54 028.hex 1227.167 4434.250 078 2010-05-29T01:20:18 029.hex 1238.400 4517.283 024 2010-05-29T10:33:56 030.hex 1232.500 4517.900 023 2010-05-29T12:57:39 031.hex 1245.517 4515.350 025 2010-05-29T14:03:58 032.hex 1253.900 4513.917 026 2010-05-29T14:47:50 033.hex 1259.917 4511.567 027-b 2010-05-29T15:24:22 034.hex 1253.250 4509.867 028 2010-05-29T16:04:35 035.hex 1245.350 4509.050 029 2010-05-29T16:48:26 036BIS.hex 1237.867 4508.533 030 2010-05-29T17:27:19 037.hex 1230.350 4507.733 031 2010-05-29T18:18:20 038.hex 1226.350 4506.217 032B 2010-05-29T18:48:31 039.hex 1236.150 4458.117 034 2010-05-29T19:58:21 040.hex 1239.633 4458.117 035 2010-05-29T20:21:54 041.hex 1245.000 4458.350 036 2010-05-29T20:55:56 042.hex 1249.650 4458.900 037 2010-05-29 T<br/>21:36:56 043.hex 1255.583 4459.500 038B 2010-05-29T22:11:20 044.hex 1302.483 4500.250 039 2010-05-29T22:51:00 045.hex 1300.350 4553.017 054 2010-05-29T23:42:17 046.hex 1255.017 4453.017 055 2010-05-30T00:14:27 047.hex 1248.967 4453.017 056 2010-05-30T00:49:05 048.hex 1244.000 4453.000 057 2010-05-30T01:16:28 049.hex 1240.250 4453.067 057BIS 2010-05-30T01:39:27 050.hex 1237.000 4453.033 058 2010-05-30T02:00:51 051.hex 1227.550 4444.550 S1 2010-05-30T03:15:15 052.hex 1234.217 4408.567 E1-B 2010-06-01T14:56:55 053.hex



## **CRUISE LTES1-10 R/V MARIAGRAZIA**

Figure 22. CTD data(T,S,SV), cruise LTES1-10.

**CTD DATA SBE911 Plus** 

DATE START: 2010-05-25

DATE END: 2010-06-05





#### Table 7—Continued

.233 4408.567 E1-C 2010-06-01T16:05:26 054.hex 1234.783 4409.000 E1-D 2010-06-01T16:34:22 055.hex 1235.933 4409.850 E1E 2010-06-01T16:56:02 056.hex 1238.383 4408.583 058 2010-06-01T18:04:08 058.hex 1237.150 4407.283 059 2010-06-01T18:25:36 059.hex 1235.983 4407.783 060 2010-06-01T18:38:20 060.hex 1233.500 4411.617 E1-G 2010-06-01T19:15:44 061.hex 1230.700 4415.317 092 2010-06-01T19:50:06 062.hex 1235.383 4416.900 091 2010-06-01T20:23:22 063.hex 1245.017 4420.117 089 2010-06-01T21:25:22 064.hex 1250.733 4422.267 088 2010-06-01T22:07:47 065.hex 1248.917 4427.600 084 2010-06-01T22:51:56 066.hex 1241.800 4426.783 083 2010-06-01T23:48:49 067.hex 1234.267 4426.267 082 2010-06-02T00:36:00 068.hex 1227.900 4425.450 081 2010-06-02T01:12:46 069.hex 1234.883 4406.967 094 2010-06-02T04:57:36 070.hex 1233.117 4407.933 094 2010-06-02T05:37:16 071.hex 1231.467 4409.350 E1K 2010-06-02T05:57:59 072.hex 1230.967 4411.483 E1J 2010-06-02T06:20:57 073.hex 1233.033 4410.117 E1H 2010-06-02T06:46:27 074.hex 1234.200 4408.567 E1 2010-06-02T07:06:24 075.hex 1234.200 4408.583 E1-076 2010-06-02T07:53:42 076.hex 1237.850 4405.700 077 2010-06-02T08:34:10 077.hex 1245.367 4359.517 145 2010-06-02T09:39:57 078.hex 1248.217 4401.100 144 2010-06-02T10:09:18 079.hex 1251.100 4402.950 143A 2010-06-02T10:36:33 080A.hex 1251.083 4402.967 143 2010-06-02T10:34:00 080.hex 1253.600 4405.117 142 2010-06-02T11:18:03 081.hex 1258.217 4407.517 141 2010-06-02T11:54:08 082.hex 1302.117 4411.000 140 2010-06-02T12:29:00 083.hex 1307.833 4414.750 139 2010-06-02T13:09:25 084.hex 1312.933 4418.233 138 2010-06-02T13:51:54 085.hex 1319.717 4423.317 137 2010-06-02T14:42:33 086.hex 1326.783 4419.333 136 2010-06-02T15:33:03 087.hex 1333.150 4415.583 135 2010-06-02T16:17:21 088.hex 1340.067 4412.067 134 2010-06-02T17:04:28 089.hex 1347.417 4408.067 133 2010-06-02T18:01:48 090.hex 1342.083 4404.867 154 2010-06-02T18:41:59 091.hex 1337.367 4401.400 155 2010-06-02T19:22:45 092.hex 1332.100 4358.167 156 2010-06-02T20:02:53 093.hex 1327.100 4354.700 157 2010-06-02T20:49:20 094.hex 1321.400 4351.467 158 2010-06-02T21:34:16 095.hex 1317.867 4348.800 159 2010-06-02T22:07:15 096.hex 1313.200 4346.117 160 2010-06-02T22:49:31 097.hex 1355.800 4352.333 168b 2010-06-03T02:26:51 098.hex 1351.317 4349.050 167 2010-06-03T03:09:22 099.hex 1346.150 4347.500 166 2010-06-03T03:43:52 100.hex 1342.550 4344.783 165 2010-06-03T04:17:37 101.hex 1336.717 4342.617 164 2010-06-03T04:57:25 102.hex 1332.467 4341.017 163 2010-06-03T05:30:12 103.hex 1330.317 4340.233 162 2010-06-03T05:49:19 104.hex 1327.233 4338.400 161 2010-06-03T06:20:36 105.hex



Figure 24. Recovery buoy E1, rusted chain,  $\sim$  3 m below buoy, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.

## рното



Figure 26. Recovery buoy E1, rusted chain,  $\sim$  3 m below buoy, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.



Figure 27. Recovery buoy E1, rusted chain,  $\sim$  3 m below buoy, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.



Figure 25. Recovery buoy E1, rusted chain,  $\sim$  3 m below buoy, R/V Mariagrazia, LTES1-10.